

	Page 2
1	United States Bankruptcy Court
2	One Bowling Green
3	New York, NY 10004
4	
5	May 27, 2020
6	9:59 AM
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON STUART M. BERNSTEIN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: UNKNOWN

```
Page 3
     HEARING re Hearing re: Letter of Dr. Alan Melton, filed
 1
 2
     Sept. 13, 2019 [ECF Doc. # 19001]
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
     Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde
25
```

```
Page 4
1
    APPEARANCES:
2
3
    MELTON FAMILY LLC / ALAN R. MELTON et al.
4
         Pro Se
         2386 NY 59th Street
5
6
         Boca Raton, FL 33496
7
8
    BY: ALAN R. MELTON (TELEPHONICALLY)
9
10
    BAKER HOSTETLER LLP
11
         Attorneys for SIPC
         45 Rockefeller Plaza
12
13
         New York, NY 10111
14
15
    BY: JASON BLANCHARD (TELEPHONICALLY)
16
17
    ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:
18
19
   ALIX BROZMAN
20
    DAVID SHEEHAN
21
   KEVIN BELL
22
    AMY VANDERWAL
23
    JORIAN ROSE
24
    JOSHUA BANKHEAD
25
    ELIZABETH DOYAGA
```

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: All right. This is Judge Bernstein.

We're about to begin the calendar. Mike, would you turn the recorder on, please?

CLERK: Judge, the recorder is on.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

The first matter on the calendar is Madoff relating to the claim by Dr. Melton.

Dr. Melton, I will hear you. Go ahead.

MR. MELTON: Thank you, Judge Bernstein, for giving me this opportunity to present my case. This all arose following your decision in my mother's case, which my brother and I presented to you on June 26th, 2019. In your decision, which you made on July 26th, 2019, about a month later, you stated you were -- actually, you stated if the transfer R account, which was my father's account, was a net winner with fictitious money, the zero net equity at the time of the transfer, the transfer E account receives no credit.

I read that. All of a sudden, I started thinking and I said, wait a minute, I received one notice and one notice only on Melton Family, LLC, when the Trustee sent out the denied and acceptances and all the rest of that. And that was to the Melton Family, LLC. There was a transfer -- a change of accounts also, a requesting of the new account

also, back in 2001. And so I started investigating and less than two months later, I sent that full document in to the Court with a full Word document outlining my entire situation and case and my beliefs.

And I -- in your statement, you said there were two accounts with my mother's, but two account were not existing at the same time. One ended; one started. So, based upon your ruling on my mother's, I filed my case and I did extensive research and I found some files that I didn't even know existed. On -- recently on May 20th, 2002 -- 2020, the Trustee and Alex Partners both submitted PDFs to the Court. My entire situation with this is that in 2001, there was an Eleanor Melton under my social security number.

In the documents supplied by Alex Partners, there was a folder from Madoff and Frank (indiscernible), just a simple folder, and they crossed off one name, Alan Melton TIC, et al., and they put Melton Family. I mean this is a \$60-billion fraud, and all they did was cross off one and put the other. And they also did the same with a social security number, my social security number. And they changed it to a TIN number that I had supplied them with.

Doing research, I mean I called and my brother had mentioned this, but I recently called Fidelity, Merrill Lynch, I called Bank of America, Chase, and I said, "I'm giving you a TIN number, brand new, a new name. What do you

do?" And they said, "We open an account." I said, "Is the account number the same?" They said, "Absolutely not."

It's all internal procedure. It's all standard throughout the country in every banking facility, in every investment facility, a new number is given.

Madoff had two programmers on his other secret floor upstairs or downstairs, wherever, running his computers. And even there was some suspicious things of maybe pre-dating buys and sales. Well, all he did was change the name on my statements. I never looked at the account number. In fact, I just went into Fidelity where I have some investment accounts and a 401, and there were little tiny account numbers next to them. They're all different. I never looked at that. I just look at the name and make sure that the tax ID number is the same.

So, least of all, I would have suspected that an account number was not changed. It should have been changed by a \$60-billion fraud. They should have changed and given it a new account number. Had they given it a new account number, by all accounting principles -- and I just talked to three accountants -- if the 1992 and the 2007, two simple end-of-the-year statements, 2008 never ended, but 2007 ended and 1992 was there.

Had the investigation of the money in and money out of how much was put in and how much was taken out, those

statements -- just two of them -- on every single account
were looked at, if the TIN were the same from 1992 and 2007,
fine. Then the net equity of the Trustee would be hold up
-- would be acceptable. If they didn't hold, every single
person said, every accountant said, there's fishiness here.
We have to drill down and we have to see what's going on and
-- pardon me -- but we have to see what's going on. And
they would have seen and discovered that there was a
transfer. And the transfer was made in 2001.

The actual LLC was formed in May of 2001,
according to State of Connecticut. And it was then put into
-- in September of 2001. So between 2001 and 2002, the endof-the-year statements reflected those changes but no
account number change. When I looked through those
documents that were submitted by Alex Partners and the
Trustee recently May 20th, Alex Partners just went back with
the statements from 2008, '07, '06, '05, '04, '03, '02 and
then just ignored everything before that like Alan Melton,
TIC, never existed.

When Alan Melton, TIC, existed, there was a net positive equity of either 465,000 in cash, forgetting about fictitious because we've eliminated that and we've eliminated all payments to the IRS. But \$465,000 was deposited; \$50,000 was definitely withdrawn before in 2001, before it. And then I looked back and I made one error in

that \$50,000 was withdrawn in between the asking Madoff in September and the forming of the LLC. So it was like -- it was formed in May or June and then in July 50,000 additional was taken out. So, essentially, it had a positive net equity.

If you take 100,000 out of 465,000, it had a positive -- instead of on the chart that I submitted 415, it had a positive of 365. That was put into a brand-new account. That account was totally -- the original account should have been -- if they were given separate account numbers, it would have been notified by the Trustee that it was a net winner -- a net loser and then the other account, the transfer E account, would have started with a positive net equity of 365 and would have been at the end in 2007 or '08 a net winner.

And that is what my claim is. And I will answer any questions, but -- and then there were statements. And I see that the Trustee sent out, well, we sent out letters and we sent out notices. It just so happened that the notices went out in regular mail, big packets. We moved to Florida. And I'm sorry that, you know, with all the lawyers that they've employed and everybody else, that it's very simple to look up addresses. I'm pretty good at the internet. I've done some very fancy things with medical. But it's not too hard to look up addresses.

Page 10 1 So we never received it. We never received that 2 19 -- 2016 document that they claimed the post office should have returned to them or whatever. It just sat in the house 3 or wherever it went, but it just sat in the mailbox. 4 5 THE COURT: Sir, your --6 MR. MELTON: So --7 THE COURT: -- Dr. Melton in 2016, you were 8 represented by counsel, though, weren't you? 9 MR. MELTON: In 2016, the only (indiscernible) --10 THE COURT: I thought --11 MR. MELTON: -- would have been (indiscernible) 12 who signed -- no, actually, I was not represented. I was 13 represented by Milberg who had signed off way back. 14 (Indiscernible) had represented my mother's account who 15 signed off (indiscernible). 16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 17 Who will speak for the Trustee? 18 MR. BLANCHARD: Good morning, Your Honor. Jason Blanchard appearing on behalf of the Trustee, and with me on 19 20 the phone is my colleague Amy Vanderwal. As a threshold matter, Dr. Melton lacks standing 21 22 to represent the interests of the Melton, LLC. And the 23 Second Circuit, a limited liability company may not appear 24 through a non-attorney representative like Dr. Melton. 25 THE COURT: Well, I know there's an exception for

trusts if the person is appearing as the only beneficiary and there were no creditors. Are you saying there isn't the same exception for LLCs?

MR. BLANCHARD: Not to our knowledge. In the Second Circuit's decision that was cited in our papers, Lattonzio, dealt with a limited liability company formed under the laws of Connecticut just like the Melton Family, LLC, and in it the court held that regardless of whether it's a single-owned or a single-member limited liability company, it cannot appear pro se.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. BLANCHARD: And to the extent Your Honor's inclined to consider the merits, as first issue, the Melton TIC is not entitled to a separate claim because it failed to file a claim by the bar date. Under Cipla, the customer must file a claim by the statutory bar date to be eligible for a claim. Here, that date begins to run from publication of notice of commencement of the case. That occurred on January 2nd, and the bar date was July 2nd.

Dr. Melton received notice of the bar date because he filed a claim on behalf of the Melton LLC. His counsel had the wherewithal to file a claim for that account because that's the only account that was inexistence. Therefore, any claim that the Melton TIC claims it has is barred because it wasn't filed by the bar date.

Second, Dr. Melton hasn't provided a basis to reconsider the (indiscernible) of this order that disallowed the Melton LLC's claim. The Trustee is determined that based on BLMIS's books and records that the Melton LLC only had one customer account and that account lacked positive net equity. BLMIS didn't create two separate accounts, and there's no record of it being asked to do so, nor is there any objection from the Melton LLC or Dr. Melton after the account was named -- renamed and it received many years of account statements. Dr. Melton has to show extraordinary circumstances for the Court to reconsider the (indiscernible) of this Instead, he raises the same name-change arguments order. that the Court considered and rejected in ruling on the Diana Melton Trust matter. He hasn't cited to any legal authority that required BLMIS to create a new account and, therefore, this can't form the basis for reconsideration or vacating the (indiscernible) of this order. THE COURT: I thought he said that he hadn't received notice of the claim objection? MR. MELTON: That is true. I never received any notice --THE COURT: Dr. Melton, I want to hear from Mr. Blanchard. MR. MELTON: I'm sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 13 1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 MR. BLANCHARD: Your Honor, the Trustee's professionals served Dr. Melton's counsel of record or, 3 rather, the Melton LLC's counsel of record and the address 4 5 provided on the customer claim. 6 THE COURT: Well, the customer claim I'm looking 7 at is Milberg and didn't he have a different lawyer by 2016? 8 MR. BLANCHARD: Milberg had withdrawn by 2013, and 9 there wasn't -- to our knowledge, another counsel didn't 10 file a notice of appearance. We had served former counsel 11 of record, nevertheless, and the address identified on the 12 customer claim. 13 THE COURT: Was the Trustee ware that Milberg had 14 withdrawn? 15 MR. BLANCHARD: They had filed -- yes, they had 16 filed a motion to withdraw as counsel in 2013. 17 THE COURT: So why'd you serve Milberg? 18 MR. BLANCHARD: We served Dr. Melton, as well. 19 Out of an abundance of caution, we served former counsel of 20 record. THE COURT: I'm looking at the -- the declaration 21 22 that's served that's -- unfortunately, it's landscape mode, 23 and I'm not -- can you point me -- I'm looking at your Exhibit 7 -- where it indicates he was served? 24 25 MR. BLANCHARD: It's redacted. It's on the

Page 14 1 unredacted version. 2 THE COURT: (Indiscernible). MR. BLANCHARD: Yeah, we can make --3 THE COURT: Did you redact service on him? 4 5 you redact the part of the certificate of service that shows 6 that he was served or his corporation was served? 7 MR. BLANCHARD: Yes. 8 THE COURT: So what did you do with the 9 certificate of service for? 10 MR. BLANCHARD: Excuse me, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: If you redacted the portion of the certificate of service that showed that Dr. Melton or 12 13 Melton, LLC, was served, what was the purpose of giving me the certificate of service as an exhibit to the declaration? 14 15 Where does it show that he or his lawyer was served? That's 16 all I'm asking. 17 MR. BLANCHARD: I'm pulling up the PDF right now. On the affidavit of mailing, it shows that Seeger Weiss and 18 Milberg were served, and then it's redacted where it 19 identifies that the Melton, LLC, was served. And we filed 20 21 the version of the document that was filed on the docket. 22 However, we have an unredacted version that we can make 23 available to the Court. THE COURT: And that unredacted version shows 24 25 what?

	Page 15
1	MR. BLANCHARD: That the Melton Limited Liability
2	Company was served with notice of the motion.
3	THE COURT: At what address, though?
4	MR. BLANCHARD: Excuse me, Your Honor. I didn't
5	catch that.
6	THE COURT: At what address was it served?
7	MR. BLANCHARD: The Balfour I'm sorry, Your
8	Honor. I'm trying to pull up the address. 20 Balfour Drive
9	
10	THE COURT: And where is that
11	MR. BLANCHARD: in West Hartford, Connecticut
12	06117.
13	THE COURT: Is that did you have an address
14	there, Dr. Melton?
15	MR. MELTON: Yes. We had an address there, but
16	not when it served.
17	THE COURT: Did you ever advise the Trustee of a
18	change of address?
19	MR. MELTON: We for the first several years
20	from 2009 through 2012
21	THE COURT: Yeah. I'm just asking I'm just
22	asking did you ever advise the Trustee of a change of
23	address when you were no longer in West Hartford?
24	MR. MELTON: No. We had no reason to because
25	THE COURT: Okay.

Page 16 1 MR. MELTON: -- we no longer -- when we changed --2 in 2016 -- 2015 when we moved, there were no more documents 3 coming in from the Trustee at all. THE COURT: When was the -- I'm not understanding. 4 5 So, Mr. Blanchard, when was the notice of --6 MR. MELTON: (Indiscernible). 7 THE COURT: -- wait a minute, Dr. Melton. Mr. Blanchard, when was the notice or the claim 8 9 objection filed? 10 MR. BLANCHARD: In December of 2015. 11 THE COURT: Okay. In December of 2015, Dr. 12 Melton, did you still have a mailing address at the -- what 13 was it, West Hartford, did you say? 14 MR. MELTON: We had homestead --15 THE COURT: Mr. Blanchard? 16 MR. MELTON: We had homestead in Florida. We had 17 just moved. THE COURT: Did you still --18 MR. MELTON: Just moved. Did we have it? Yes. we 19 did have that address. The house was not sold. It was 20 21 rented out. It was under the auspices of a realtor. The 22 house was rented out to a woman from Bangladesh or somewhere 23 around there. And, my god, that house was --24 THE COURT: Is it fair to say, Dr. Melton, that 25 they served you or they mailed it to what they -- what was

Page 17 1 essentially your last known address? They didn't know that 2 you moved to Florida, and you didn't tell them. MR. MELTON: That is correct. We were in the 3 middle --4 5 THE COURT: Okay. So --6 MR. MELTON: -- of moving. Yeah, we were in the 7 midst of moving. We were filing a million papers that 8 Florida -- you know, for homesteading to prove that we were 9 residents of Florida. And the last thing on our mind was 10 notifying the Trustee because we thought the whole thing was 11 over because it was all under Melton, LLC --12 THE COURT: But, Dr. Melton, wasn't mail forwarded 13 from the West Hartford address to Florida? 14 MR. MELTON: No, it wasn't. 15 THE COURT: Did you put a change in address with 16 the post office? 17 MR. MELTON: We certainly did, but the -- nothing 18 was -- the post office did not -- we certainly did not get 19 it. 20 THE COURT: Where did you go -- okay. Let me hear 21 the rest of Mr. Blanchard's presentation. 22 MR. MELTON: We did get it later on. We got it 23 months later. 24 THE COURT: When did you get it? 25 MR. MELTON: We got it months, months later when

Page 18 1 the realtor found that the woman from Bangladesh and her 2 children was here illegally who rented the house trashed the house, left the house that December, and he went in -- he 3 just found mail all over the -- he found everything all over 4 5 the place. And we have pictures --6 THE COURT: And that's when you found it? 7 MR. MELTON: He mailed us a packet of things, and 8 that's when we found a notice for Melton, LLC. But never 9 ever --10 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. 11 MR. MELTON: -- ever -- yeah. The one thing that 12 I just want to add was never, ever, ever was Alan Melton, 13 TIC, ever notified by the Trustee, by Alix Partners, by 14 anybody that it even ever existed. So Alan Melton was never 15 -- and the only reason why I ever went back was because of 16 my mother's ruling. And I said, wait a minute, something 17 happened with our account so --THE COURT: Dr. Melton, I'm going to have to cut 18 you off because I just asked you a simple question and now I 19 20 want to hear Mr. Blanchard. I heard you, and it's --21 MR. MELTON: Sure. 22 THE COURT: -- Mr. Blanchard's turn. 23 MR. BLANCHARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Blanchard, go ahead. 25 MR. BLANCHARD: Dr. Melton hasn't rebutted the

Page 19 presumption that the LLC received notice of the motion. And 1 2 we would submit --3 THE COURT: Well, he just admitted that he did. MR. BLANCHARD: Exactly. So it's received notice 4 of the motion, and there hasn't been a stated basis for 5 6 reconsidering the omnibus order that granted the motion. 7 Therefore, we'd respectfully request that the Court deny the 8 relief requested in the letter. 9 THE COURT: All right. Does SIPC want to be 10 heard? 11 (No Response) 12 THE COURT: Hearing no response, I'll reserve 13 decision. Thank you, gentlemen. 14 MR. BLANCHARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: You're excused. 16 MR. MELTON: Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 MR. MELTON: Thank you very much. 19 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 20 10:21 AM) 21 22 23 24 25

	Pg 20 of 27		
			Page 20
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4		Page	Line
5			
6	Decision on the Motion Reserved	19	12-13
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 21 CERTIFICATION I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. nya M. deslandi Hyde Sonya Ledanski Hyde Veritext Legal Solutions 330 Old Country Road Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 Date: June 8, 2020

[**02 - blmis**] Page 1

	201 5 10 14		12 14 10 16
0	26th 5:13,14	accounting 7:20	authority 12:16
02 8:17	27 2:5	accounts 5:25 6:6 7:12 12:6	available 14:23
03 8:17	2nd 11:19,19		b
04 8:17	3	accurate 21:4 actual 8:10	b 2:21
05 8:17	300 21:22	add 18:12	back 6:1 8:16,25
06 8:17	330 21:21	additional 9:3	10:13 18:15
06117 15:12	33496 4:6	address 13:4,11	baker 4:10
07 8:17	365 9:8,14	15:3,6,8,13,15,18	balfour 15:7,8
08 9:15	4	15:23 16:12,20	bangladesh 16:22
08-01789 1:4	401 7:12	17:1,13,15	18:1
08-99000 1:3	415 9:7	addresses 9:23,25	bank 6:24
1	45 4:12	admitted 19:3	bankhead 4:24
100,000 9:6	465,000 8:21,23	adv 1:4	banking 7:4
10004 2:3	9:6	advise 15:17,22	bankruptcy 1:1
10111 4:13	5	affidavit 14:18	2:1,23
10:21 19:20	50,000 8:24 9:1,3	ahead 5:9 11:11	bar 11:15,16,19
11501 21:23	59th 4:5	18:24	11:20,25
12-13 20:6		al 4:3 6:17	barred 11:24
13 3:2	6	alan 3:1 4:3,8	based 6:8 12:4
19 10:2 20:6	60 6:18 7:18	6:16 8:18,20	basis 12:1,17 19:5 begins 11:17
19001 3:2	7	18:12,14	behalf 10:19
1992 7:21,23 8:2	7 13:24	alex 6:11,14 8:15	11:21
2	8	8:16	beliefs 6:4
20 15:8	8 21:25	alix 4:19 18:13	bell 4:21
2001 6:1,12 8:9,10		america 6:24	beneficiary 11:1
8:12,12,24	9	amy 4:22 10:20	bernard 1:8,15
2002 6:10 8:12	9:59 2:6	answer 9:16	bernstein 2:22 5:2
2007 7:21,22 8:2	a	anybody 18:14	5:10
9:14	absolutely 7:2	appear 10:23	big 9:20
2008 7:22 8:17	abundance 13:19	11:10	billion 6:18 7:18
2009 15:20	acceptable 8:4	appearance 13:10	blanchard 4:15
2012 15:20	acceptances 5:23	appearing 10:19	10:18,19 11:4,12
2013 13:8,16	account 5:16,16	11:1	12:24 13:2,8,15
2015 16:2,10,11	5:18,25 6:6 7:1,2	arguments 12:13 arose 5:12	13:18,25 14:3,7
2016 10:2,7,9 13:7	7:11,13,17,19,19	arose 5:12 asked 12:7 18:19	14:10,17 15:1,4,7
16:2	8:1,14 9:9,9,9,10	asking 9:1 14:16	15:11 16:5,8,10
2019 3:2 5:13,14	9:12,13 10:14	15:21,22	16:15 18:20,23,24
2020 2:5 6:11	11:22,23 12:5,5,9	attorney 10:24	18:25 19:4,14
21:25	12:10,16 18:17	attorneys 4:11	blanchard's 17:21
20th 6:10 8:16	accountant 8:5	auspices 16:21	18:22
2386 4:5	accountants 7:21	adspices 10.21	blmis 12:6,16
	l	1	

[blmis's - fact] Page 2

blmis's 12:4	clerk 5:5	d	drive 15:8
boca 4:6	colleague 10:20	d 5:1 20:1	e
books 12:4	coming 16:3		_
bowling 2:2	commencement	date 11:15,16,17	e 2:21,21 4:1,1 5:1
brand 6:25 9:8	11:18	11:19,20,25 21:25	5:1,18 9:13 20:1
brother 5:13 6:22	company 10:23	dating 7:9	21:1
brozman 4:19	11:6,10 15:2	david 4:20	ecf 3:2
buys 7:9	computers 7:8	dealt 11:6	ecro 2:25
c	concluded 19:19	debtor 1:10	either 8:21
	connecticut 8:11	december 16:10	eleanor 6:13
c 4:1 5:1 21:1,1	11:7 15:11	16:11 18:3	eligible 11:16
calendar 5:3,7	consider 11:13	decision 5:12,14	eliminated 8:22
called 6:22,23,24	considered 12:14	11:5 19:13 20:6	8:23
case 1:3,4 5:11,12	corporation 1:12	declaration 13:21	elizabeth 4:25
6:4,8 11:18	14:6	14:14	employed 9:22
cash 8:21	correct 17:3	defendant 1:16	ended 6:7 7:22,22
catch 15:5	counsel 10:8	definitely 8:24	entire 6:3,12
caution 13:19	11:21 13:3,4,9,10	denied 5:23	entitled 11:14
certainly 17:17,18	13:16,19	deny 19:7	equity 5:17 8:3,21
certificate 14:5,9	country 7:4 21:21	deposited 8:24	9:5,14 12:6
14:12,14	court 1:1 2:1 5:2,6	determined 12:3	error 8:25
certified 21:3	6:3,12 10:5,7,10	diana 12:15	essentially 9:4
change 5:25 7:10	10:16,25 11:8,11	different 7:14	17:1
8:14 12:13 15:18	12:12,14,19,23	13:7	et 4:3 6:17
15:22 17:15	13:1,6,13,17,21	disallowed 12:2	everybody 9:22
changed 6:21 7:17	14:2,4,8,11,23,24	discovered 8:8	exactly 19:4
7:17,18 16:1	15:3,6,10,13,17	district 1:2	exception 10:25
changes 8:13	15:21,25 16:4,7	doc 3:2	11:3
chart 9:7	16:11,15,18,24	docket 14:21	excuse 14:10 15:4
chase 6:24	17:5,12,15,20,24	document 6:2,3	excused 19:15
children 18:2	18:6,10,18,22,24	10:2 14:21	exhibit 13:24
cipla 11:15	19:3,7,9,12,15,17	documents 6:14	14:14
circuit 10:23	create 12:6,16	8:15 16:2	existed 6:10 8:19
circuit's 11:5	credit 5:19	doing 6:22	8:20 18:14
circumstances	creditors 11:2	downstairs 7:7	existing 6:7
12:11	cross 6:18	doyaga 4:25	extensive 6:9
cited 11:5 12:15	crossed 6:16	dr 3:1 5:8,9 10:7	extent 11:12
claim 5:8 9:16	customer 11:15	10:21,24 11:20	extraordinary
11:14,15,16,17,21		12:1,8,11,23 13:3	12:11
11:22,24 12:3,20	12:5 13:5,6,12 cut 18:18	13:18 14:12 15:14	f
13:5,6,12 16:8	cut 10:10	16:7,11,24 17:12	f 2:21 21:1
claimed 10:2		18:18,25	facility 7:4,5
claims 11:24		drill 8:6	fact 7:11
			1act /.11

[failed - matter] Page 3

	T	I	I
failed 11:14	given 7:5,18,19	inclined 11:13	laws 11:7
fair 16:24	9:10	indicates 13:24	lawyer 13:7 14:15
family 4:3 5:22,24	giving 5:11 6:25	indiscernible 6:15	lawyers 9:21
6:17 11:7	14:13	10:9,11,14,15	ledanski 3:25 21:3
fancy 9:24	go 5:9 11:11 17:20	12:2,12,18 14:2	21:8
father's 5:16	18:24	16:6	left 18:3
fictitious 5:17	god 16:23	inexistence 11:23	legal 12:15 21:20
8:22	going 8:6,7 18:18	interests 10:22	letter 3:1 19:8
fidelity 6:23 7:11	good 9:23 10:18	internal 7:3	letters 9:18
file 11:15,16,22	granted 19:6	internet 9:23	liability 10:23
13:10	green 2:2	investigating 6:1	11:6,9 15:1
filed 3:1 6:8 11:21	h	investigation 7:24	limited 10:23 11:6
11:25 13:15,16		investment 1:8,15	11:9 15:1
14:20,21 16:9	happened 9:19	7:4,12	line 20:4
files 6:9	18:17	investor 1:12	little 7:13
filing 17:7	hard 9:25	irs 8:23	llc 1:8,15 4:3 5:22
fine 8:3	hartford 15:11,23	issue 11:13	5:24 8:10 9:2
first 5:7 11:13	16:13 17:13		10:22 11:8,21
15:19	hear 5:9 12:23	j	12:4,8 14:13,20
fishiness 8:5	17:20 18:20	january 11:19	17:11 18:8 19:1
fl 4:6	heard 18:20 19:10	jason 4:15 10:18	llc's 12:3 13:4
floor 7:7	hearing 3:1,1	jorian 4:23	llcs 11:3
	19:12	joshua 4:24	
florida 9:20 16:16	held 11:8	judge 2:23 5:2,5	llp 4:10
17:2,8,9,13	hold 8:3,4	5:10	longer 15:23 16:1
folder 6:15,16	homestead 16:14	july 5:14 9:3	look 7:14 9:23,25
following 5:12	16:16	11:19	looked 7:10,14
foregoing 21:3	homesteading	june 5:13 9:3	8:2,14,25
forgetting 8:21	17:8	21:25	looking 13:6,21
form 12:17	hon 2:22	k	13:23
formed 8:10 9:3	honor 10:18 13:2		loser 9:12
11:6	14:10 15:4,8	kevin 4:21	lynch 6:24
former 13:10,19	18:23 19:14	know 6:10 9:21	m
forming 9:2	honor's 11:12	10:25 17:1,8	m 2:22
forwarded 17:12	hostetler 4:10	knowledge 11:4	madoff 1:8,15 5:7
found 6:9 18:1,4,4	house 10:3 16:20	13:9	6:15 7:6 9:1
18:6,8	16:22,23 18:2,3,3	known 17:1	mail 9:20 17:12
frank 6:15	hyde 3:25 21:3,8	1	18:4
fraud 6:18 7:18		1 1:8,15	mailbox 10:4
full 6:2,3	i	lacked 12:5	mailed 16:25 18:7
g	identified 13:11	lacks 10:21	
	identifies 14:20	landscape 13:22	mailing 14:18
g 5:1	ignored 8:18	lattonzio 11:6	16:12
gentlemen 19:13	illegally 18:2	1411011210 11.0	matter 1:6 5:7
			10:21 12:15
	Veritext Les	1014	

mean 6:17,22	motion 13:16 15:2	occurred 11:18	presentation
medical 9:24	19:1,5,6 20:6	office 10:2 17:16	17:21
melton 3:1 4:3,3,8	moved 9:20 16:2	17:18	presented 5:13
5:8,9,10,22,24	16:17,19 17:2	okay 5:6 10:16	presumption 19:1
6:13,16,17 8:18	moving 17:6,7	13:1 15:25 16:11	pretty 9:23
8:20 10:6,7,9,11	n	17:5,20 18:10	principles 7:20
10:21,22,24 11:7	n 4:1 5:1 20:1	old 21:21	pro 4:4 11:10
11:13,20,21,24	21:1	omnibus 19:6	procedure 7:3
12:1,3,4,8,8,11,15	name 6:16,25 7:10	open 7:1	proceedings
12:21,23,25 13:4	7:14 12:13	opportunity 5:11	19:19 21:4
13:18 14:12,13,20	named 12:9	order 12:2,13,18	professionals 13:3
15:1,14,15,19,24	net 5:16,17 8:3,20	19:6	programmers 7:6
16:1,6,7,12,14,16	9:4,12,12,14,15	original 9:9	protection 1:12
16:19,24 17:3,6	12:6	outlining 6:3	prove 17:8
17:11,12,14,17,22	never 7:10,14,22	owned 11:9	provided 12:1
17:25 18:7,8,11	8:19 10:1,1 12:21	р	13:5
18:12,14,18,21,25	18:8,12,14	p 4:1,1 5:1	publication 11:17
19:16,18	nevertheless	packet 18:7	pull 15:8
melton's 13:3	13:11	packets 9:20	pulling 14:17
member 11:9	new 1:2 2:3 4:13	page 20:4	purpose 14:13
mentioned 6:23	5:25 6:25,25 7:5	papers 11:5 17:7	put 6:17,19 7:25
merits 11:13	7:19,19 9:8 12:16	pardon 8:7	8:11 9:8 17:15
merrill 6:23	non 10:24	part 14:5	q
middle 17:4	notice 5:21,22	partners 6:11,14	question 18:19
midst 17:7	11:18,20 12:20,22	8:15,16 18:13	question 18.19 questions 9:17
mike 5:3	13:10 15:2 16:5,8	payments 8:23	_
milberg 10:13	18:8 19:1,4	pdf 14:17	r
13:7,8,13,17	notices 9:19,19	pdf 14.17 pdfs 6:11	r 2:21 4:1,3,8 5:1
14:19	notified 9:11	person 8:5 11:1	5:16 21:1
million 17:7	18:13	phone 10:20	raises 12:13
mind 17:9	notifying 17:10	pictures 18:5	raton 4:6
mineola 21:23	number 6:13,20	place 18:5	read 5:20
minute 5:21 16:7		plaintiff 1:13	realtor 16:21 18:1
18:16	6:20,21,25 7:2,5	planum 1.13 plaza 4:12	reason 15:24
mode 13:22	7:11,15,17,19,20 8:14	-	18:15
money 5:17 7:24		please 5:4	rebutted 18:25
7:24	numbers 7:13	point 13:23	received 5:21 10:1
month 5:14	9:11	portion 14:11	10:1 11:20 12:9
months 6:2 17:23	ny 2:3 4:5,13	positive 8:21 9:4,7	12:20,21 19:1,4
17:25,25	21:23	9:8,13 12:5	receives 5:18
morning 10:18	0	post 10:2 17:16,18	reconsider 12:2
mother's 5:12 6:6	o 2:21 5:1 21:1	pre 7:9	12:12
6:8 10:14 18:16	objection 12:8,20	present 4:17 5:11	reconsideration
	16:9		12:17

[reconstacting - unac	~~~~~~~ ~]		1 age 3
reconsidering	rulings 20:3	sipc 4:11 19:9	telephonically 4:8
19:6	run 11:17	sir 10:5	4:15,17
record 12:7 13:3	running 7:7	situation 6:4,12	tell 17:2
13:4,11,20 21:4	S	smb 1:3,4	thank 5:6,10
recorder 5:4,5	s 4:1 5:1	social 6:13,19,20	18:10,23 19:13,14
records 12:4	sales 7:9	sold 16:20	19:16,17,18
redact 14:4,5	sat 10:3,4	solutions 21:20	thing 17:9,10
redacted 13:25	saving 11:2	sonya 3:25 21:3,8	18:11
14:11,19	saying 11.2 se 4:4 11:10	sorry 9:21 12:25	things 7:8 9:24
reflected 8:13	second 10:23 11:5	15:7	18:7
regardless 11:8	12:1	southern 1:2	thinking 5:20
regular 9:20	secret 7:6	speak 10:17	thought 10:10
rejected 12:14	securities 1:8,12	standard 7:3	12:19 17:10
relating 5:8	1:15	standing 10:21	three 7:21
relief 19:8	security 6:13,20	started 5:20 6:1,7	threshold 10:21
renamed 12:9	6:20	9:13	tic 6:17 8:19,20
rented 16:21,22	see 8:6,7 9:18	state 8:11	11:14,24 18:13
18:2	seeger 14:18	stated 5:15,15	time 5:18 6:7
represent 10:22	seen 8:8	19:5	tin 6:21,25 8:2
representative	sent 5:22 6:2 9:18	statement 6:5	tiny 7:13
10:24	9:18,19	statements 7:10	totally 9:9
represented 10:8	separate 9:10	7:22 8:1,13,17	transcribed 3:25
10:12,13,14	11:14 12:6	9:17 12:10	transcript 21:4
request 19:7	sept 3:2	states 1:1 2:1	transfer 5:16,18
requested 19:8	september 8:12	statutory 11:16	5:18,24 8:9,9 9:13
requesting 5:25	9:2	street 4:5	trashed 18:2
required 12:16	serve 13:17	stuart 2:22	true 12:21 21:4
research 6:9,22	served 13:3,10,18	submit 19:2	trust 12:15
reserve 19:12	13:19,22,24 14:6	submitted 6:11	trustee 5:22 6:11
reserved 20:6	14:6,13,15,19,20	8:15 9:7	8:3,16 9:11,18
residents 17:9	15:2,6,16 16:25	sudden 5:20	10:17,19 12:3
respectfully 19:7	service 14:4,5,9	suite 21:22	13:13 15:17,22
response 19:11,12	14:12,14	supplied 6:14,21	16:3 17:10 18:13
rest 5:23 17:21	sheehan 4:20	sure 7:15 18:21	trustee's 13:2
returned 10:3	show 12:11 14:15	suspected 7:16	trusts 11:1
right 5:2 10:16	show 12.11 14.13	suspicious 7:8	trying 15:8
14:17 19:9	shows 14:5,18,24	t	turn 5:3 18:22
road 21:21	signed 10:12,13	t 21:1,1	two 6:2,6,6 7:6,21
rockefeller 4:12	10:15	take 9:6	8:1 12:6
rose 4:23	simple 6:16 7:21	taken 7:25 9:4	u
ruling 6:8 12:14	9:22 18:19	talked 7:20	u.s. 2:23
18:16	single 8:1,4 11:9,9	tax 7:15	understanding
	0.1,111.7,7	V 1110	16:4
			10.1

	-
unfortunately	y
13:22	yeah 14:3 15:21
united 1:1 2:1	17:6 18:11
unknown 2:25	year 7:22 8:13
unredacted 14:1	years 12:9 15:19
14:22,24	york 1:2 2:3 4:13
upstairs 7:7	
v	Z 5.17
v 1:14	zero 5:17
vacating 12:18	
vanderwal 4:22	
10:20	
veritext 21:20	
version 14:1,21	
14:22,24	
W	
wait 5:21 16:7	
18:16	
want 12:23 18:12	
18:20 19:9	
ware 13:13	
way 10:13	
we've 8:22,22	
weiss 14:18	
went 7:11 8:16	
9:20 10:4 18:3,15	
west 15:11,23	
16:13 17:13	
wherewithal	
11:22	
why'd 13:17	
winner 5:17 9:12	
9:15	
withdraw 13:16	
withdrawn 8:24	
9:1 13:8,14	
woman 16:22	
18:1	
word 6:3	_
X	
x 1:5,11,17 20:1	